UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

 DISTRICT C	OF ARIZONA	

Ul	NITED STATES OF AMERICA v.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
	Mario Partida-Betancourt	Case Number:	_11-05397M-001		
and was repr	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § esented by counsel. I conclude by a pre lant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing on the state of the evidence to the state of the evidence to the state of the st	was held on April 1, 2011. Defendant was present he defendant is a flight risk and order the detention		
	eponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT			
		Linited States or lawfully ad	mitted for nermanent residence		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence. The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.				
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.				
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.				
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.				
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal	nistory.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.				
	The defendant is an amnesty app substantial family ties to Mexico.	licant but has no substantia	I ties in Arizona or in the United States and has		
	There is a record of prior failure to a	appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade	law enforcement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.		
	The defendant is facing a maximun	n of	years imprisonment.		
The 0 It the time of	f the hearing in this matter, except as n	oted in the record.	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court		
4		CONCLUSIONS OF LAW			
1.	There is a serious risk that the defe				
2.		•	e the appearance of the defendant as required.		
		IONS REGARDING DETEN			
corrections ppeal. The of the United	facility separate, to the extent practicab defendant shall be afforded a reasonab	le, from persons awaiting or s le opportunity for private cons he Government, the person i	s/her designated representative for confinement in serving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.		
	APPEAL	S AND THIRD PARTY REL	EASE		
			th the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District		
Services suff	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release ficiently in advance of the hearing before potential third party custodian.	to a third party is to be consi- re the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and		
DATE: <u>Apr</u>	ril 1, 2011		JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge		